

THE SEMI NORMED SPACE DEFINED BY ORLICZ SPACE OF ENTIRE SEQUENCE

¹U.K.Misra, ²M.Misra, ³N.Subramanian & ⁴P.Samanta

¹Department of Mathematics, Berhampur University, Berhampur-760 007, Orissa, India.

²Principal, Government Science College, Malkangiri, Orissa, India.

³Department of Mathematics, Sastra University, Tanjore-613 402, Tamilnadu, India.

⁴Department of Mathematics, Gopalpur College, Gopalpur on sea, Orissa, India.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the sequence spaces $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$, $\wedge_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ using an modulus function M and defined over a semi normed space (X, q) , semi normed by q and study some properties of these sequence spaces. We also obtain some inclusion relations.

Keywords: Entire sequence, analytic sequence, Orlicz sequence space, invariant mean, semi norm.

AMS classification: 40A05

1. INTRODUCTION

A complex sequence, whose k^{th} term is x_k is denoted by $\{x_k\}$ or simply x . A sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ is said to be analytic, if $\sup_{(k)} |x_k|^{1/k} < \infty$. The vector space of all analytic sequences will be denoted by \wedge . A sequence x is

entire sequence if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{1/k} = 0$. The vector space of all entire sequences will be denoted by Γ .

Let σ be a one-one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself such that $\sigma^m(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{m-1}(n))$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. A continuous linear functional Φ on \wedge is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if

- (i) $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n .
- (ii) $\Phi(e) = 1$ where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and
- (iii) $\Phi(\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}) = \Phi(\{x_n\})$ for all $x \in \wedge$.

For certain kinds of mappings σ , every invariant mean Φ extends the limit functional on the space C of all real convergent sequences in the sense that $\Phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in C$ consequently $C \subset V_\sigma$, where V_σ the set of analytic sequences all of whose σ -means are equal.

If $x = (x_n)$ set $Tx = (Tx_n)^{1/n} = (x_{\sigma(n)})$. It can be shown that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x = (x_n) : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mm}(x_n)^{1/n} = L \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n)^{1/n} \right\}$$

where

$$(1.1) \quad t_{mm}(x) = \frac{(x_n + Tx_n + \dots + T^m x_n)^{1/n}}{m+1}.$$

Orlicz [7] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the space (L^M) . Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4] investigated Orlicz sequence spaces in more detail, and they proved that every Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Subsequently different classes of sequence spaces are defined by Parashar and Choudhary [8], Mursaleen et al [6], Bektas and Altin [1], Tripathy et al. [2], Rao and Subramanian [9] and many others. The Orlicz sequence spaces are the special cases of Orlicz spaces studied in Ref [].

Recall ([7], [3]) that an Orlicz function is a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. If convexity of Orlicz function M is replaced by $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$, then this function is called modulus function, defined and discussed by Ruckle [10] and Maddox [5].

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space. We denote by $E(\mu)$ the space of all (equivalence classes of) Σ -measurable functions x from Ω into $[0, \infty)$. For an Orlicz function M , we define on $E(\mu)$ a convex functional I_M by

$$I_M(x) = \int_{\Omega} M(x(t)) d\mu,$$

and an Orlicz space $L^M(\mu)$ by

$$L^M(\mu) = \{x \in E(\mu) : I_M(\lambda x) < +\infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}$$

(For detail see [7], [3]).

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

where $w = \{\text{all complex sequences}\}$.

The space ℓ_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For $M(t) = t^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, the spaces ℓ_M coincide with the classical sequence space ℓ_p .

Definition 1. Let M be a modulus function. The space consisting of all those sequences x in w such that

$M\left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some arbitrarily fixed $\rho > 0$ is called the Orlicz space of entire sequence. It

is denoted by Γ_M . Clearly $\left\{ M\left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}\right) \right\}$ is a null sequence. The space Γ_M is a metric space with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{(k)} M\left(\frac{|x_k - y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}\right)$$

for all $x = \{x_k\}$ and $y = \{y_k\}$ in Γ_M .

Lemma-1. If M is a convex function and $M(0) = 0$, then $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$ for all λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Definition-2. For the modulus function M , we define the Orlicz Space of bounded sequence, usually denoted by λ_M as the space consisting of all those sequences x in w such that

$$\left(\sup_{(k)} \left(M\left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}\right) \right) \right) < \infty,$$

for some arbitrarily fixed $\rho > 0$ is denoted by \wedge_M, M being a modulus function. In other words

$$\left(\sup_{(k)} \left(M \left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right)$$

is bounded sequence..

Definition-3. Let p, q be semi norms on a vector space X . Then p is said to be stronger than q if , for any sequence (x_n) in X with $p(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, implies $q(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. If each is stronger than the other there are said to be equivalent.

Lemma-2. Let p and q be semi norms on a linear space X . Then p is stronger than q if and only if there exists a constant M such that $q(x) \leq Mp(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition-4. A sequence space E is said to be solid or normal if for every $(x_k) \in E$ and for all sequence of scalars (α_k) with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$, $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$.

Definition-5. A sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

Remark-1. From the above two definitions it is clear that a sequence E is solid implies that it is monotone.

Definition-6. A sequence E is said to be convergence free if $(y_k) \in E$ whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and $x_k = 0$ implies that $y_k = 0$.

Lemma-3. Let $p = (p_k)$ be sequence of positive real numbers with $0 < p_k < \sup p_k = G$ and let $D = \text{Max}(1, 2^{G-1})$. Then for $a_k, b_k \in C$, the set of complex numbers for all $k \in N$ we have

$$(1.2) \quad |a_k + b_k|^{1/k} \leq D \left\{ |a_k|^{1/k} + |b_k|^{1/k} \right\}$$

Let (X, q) be a semi normed space over the field C of complex numbers with the semi norm q . We denote $\wedge(X)$ as the spaces of all analytic sequences defined over X .

We define the following sequence spaces:

$$\wedge_M(p, \sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \wedge(X) : \sup_{(n,k)} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ uniformly in } n, \rho \geq 0, s \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma_M(X) : k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } n, \rho \geq 0, s \geq 0 \right\}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this paper we study some of the topological property of orlicz space of entire sequences.

Theorem-1. If M is a modulus function, then $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in C$. In order to prove the result we need to find some ρ_3 such that

$$k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|\alpha x_{\sigma^k(n)} + \beta y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } n,$$

$\rho_3 \geq 0, s \geq 0$. Since $x, y \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$, there exists a positive numbers ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$(2.1) \quad k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in $n, s \geq 0$ and

$$(2.2) \quad k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in $n, s \geq 0$. Let ρ_3 be such that

$$\frac{1}{\rho_3} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha|\rho_1}, \frac{1}{|\beta|\rho_2} \right\}.$$

Since M is a non-decreasing modulus function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|\alpha x_{\sigma^k(n)} + \beta y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|\alpha| |x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta| |y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} + \frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) + M \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq Dk^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + Dk^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $n, s \geq 0$. Thus, for $x, y \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$
 $(\alpha x + \beta y) \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Hence $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a linear.

This completes the proof.

Theorem-2. $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ are paranormed spaces with

$$g^*(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_m/H} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \text{ uniformly in } n, \rho > 0 \right\}$$

where $H = \max_{(k)} \left(\sup p_k \right)$.

Proof. Clearly $g(x) = g(-x)$ and $g(\theta) = 0$, where θ is the zero sequence of X . Let $(x_k), (y_k) \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and ρ_1 and $\rho_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1,$$

uniformly in n and

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1,$$

uniformly in n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)} + y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \right) \right] &\leq \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right] + \\ &\left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right] \\ &\leq 1 \text{ uniformly in } n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) &\leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{p_m/H} : m \in N \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1)^{p_m/H} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \rho_1 > 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, m \in N \right\} + \\ &\inf \left\{ (\rho_2)^{p_m/H} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \rho_2 > 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, m \in N \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y)$. Thus g satisfies the triangle inequality.

Next, for $0 < \lambda < 1$

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{p_m/H} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|\lambda x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \rho > 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, m \in N \right\}$$

$$= \inf \left\{ (|\lambda| r)^{p_m/H} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{r} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, r > 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, m \in N \right\}$$

where $r = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$.

Hence $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a paranormed space.

This completes the proof.

Theorem-3. Let M_1 and M_2 be two modulus function. Then

$$\Gamma_{M_1}(p, \sigma, q, s) \cap \Gamma_{M_2}(p, \sigma, q, s) \subseteq \Gamma_{M_1+M_2}(p, \sigma, q, s).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \Gamma_{M_1}(p, \sigma, q, s) \cap \Gamma_{M_2}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Then there exists ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$(2.3) \quad k^{-s} \left[\Gamma_{M_1} \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad k^{-s} \left[\Gamma_{M_2} \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Let ρ be such that $\frac{1}{\rho} = \min\left(\frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_2}\right)$. Then we have

$$k^{-s} \left[\Gamma_{M_1+M_2} \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq k^{-s} D \left[\Gamma_{M_1} \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + k^{-s} D \left[\Gamma_{M_2} \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in n , by (2.3) and (2.4)

Therefore $x \in \Gamma_{M_1+M_2}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem-4. Let M be a modulus function then $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s) \subset \wedge_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Then we have

$$k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } n, \rho \geq 0.$$

Let (ℓ_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\ell_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$.

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &= k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)} - \ell + \ell|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq Dk^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)} - \ell|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + Dk^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|\ell y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq Dk^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)} - \ell|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + |\ell| Dk^{-S} \left[\sup_{(n,k)} M \left(q \left(\frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_{\sigma^k(n)} \right|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get $x \in \wedge_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem-5. For any two sequences $p = (p_k)$ and $t = (t_k)$ of positive real numbers and for any two semi norms q_1 and q_2 on X we have

$$\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_1, s) \cap \Gamma_M(t, \sigma, q_2, s) \neq \phi.$$

Proof. The proof follows as the zero element θ belongs to each of the classes of sequences involved in the intersection.

Remark- 2. Let M be a modulus function and let q_1 and q_2 be two semi norms on X , we have

- (i) $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_1, s) \cap \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_2, s) \subseteq \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_1 + q_2, s)$
- (ii) If q_1 is stronger than q_2 then $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_1, s) \subseteq \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_2, s)$
- (iii) If q_1 is equivalent to q_2 then $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_1, s) = \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q_2, s)$.

Theorem-6.

- (i) Let $0 \leq p_k \leq r_k$ and $\left\{ \frac{r_k}{p_k} \right\}$ be bounded. Then $\Gamma_M(r, \sigma, q, s) \subseteq \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$
- (ii) $s_1 \leq s_2$ implies $\Gamma_M(r, \sigma, q, s_1) \subseteq \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s_2)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Gamma_M(r, \sigma, q, s)$. Then

$$k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$t_{kn} = k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \text{ and } \lambda_{kn} = \frac{r_{kn}}{p_{kn}}.$$

Since $p_k \leq r_k$, we have $0 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$. Take $0 < \lambda < \lambda_k$. Let us define

$$u_{kn} = t_{kn} (t_{kn} \geq 1) \\ = 0 (t_{kn} < 1),$$

and

$$v_{kn} = 0 (t_{kn} \geq 1) \\ = t_{kn} (t_{kn} < 1)$$

$$t_{kn} = u_{kn} + v_{kn}, \quad t_{kn}^{\lambda_k} = u_{kn}^{\lambda_k} + v_{kn}^{\lambda_k}$$

Now it follows that

$$u_k^{\lambda_k} \leq u_{kn}^{\lambda_k} + t_{kn} \text{ and } v_{kn}^{\lambda_k} \leq v_{kn}^{\lambda}$$

That is.

$$t_{kn}^{\lambda_k} = u_{kn}^{\lambda_k} + v_{kn}^{\lambda_k}$$

$$t_{kn}^{\lambda_k} \leq t_{kn} + v_{kn}^{\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{\lambda_k} \leq k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k}$$

$$k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k/r_k} \leq k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k}$$

$$k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k}$$

But

$$k^{-S} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence

$$k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $x \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Thus $x \in \Gamma_M(r, \sigma, q, s)$ implies $x \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Hence $\Gamma_M(r, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$.
 This completes the proof.

Proof.(ii). Since

$$k^{-s_2} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq k^{-s_2} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}, \text{ for all } k \text{ and } n..$$

Thus $x \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s_1)$ implies $x \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s_2)$.
 Hence $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s_1) \subset \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s_2)$.
 This completes the proof.

Theorem-7. The space $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ are solid and as such are monotone.

Proof. Let $(x_k) \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$. Then

$$k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|\alpha_k x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq k^{-s} \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \text{ for all } k \in N$$

$$\left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|\alpha_k x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \left[M \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \text{ for all } k \in N$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem-8. The space $\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is not convergence free.

Proof: Let $M(x) = x$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$; $s = 0$; $p_k = 1$ for k even and $p_k = 2$ for k odd.

Let $X = X$, $q(x) = |x|$ and $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ for all $n \in N$. Then we have

$$\sigma^2(n) = \sigma(\sigma(n)) = \sigma(n + 1) = (n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$$

and

$$\sigma^3(n) = \sigma(\sigma^2(n)) = \sigma(n + 2) = (n + 2) + 1 = n + 3.$$

Therefore $\sigma^k(n) = (n + k)$ for all $n, k \in N$. Consider the sequences (x_k) and (y_k) defined as

$$x_k = \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^k \text{ and } (y_k)^k = k^k \text{ for all } k \in N$$

Then $|x_k|^{1/k} = \frac{1}{k}$ and $|y_k|^{1/k} = k$ for all $k \in N$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \left| \left(\frac{1}{(n+k)} \right)^{n+k} \right|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $(x_k) \in \Gamma_M(p, \sigma)$. But $\frac{1}{\rho} \left| (n+k)^{n+k} \right|^{p_k} \neq 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $(y_k) \notin \Gamma_M(p, \sigma)$. Hence the space

$\Gamma_M(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is not convergence free.

This completes the proof.

3. REFERENCES

- [1]. **Bektas, C and Y. Altin**, The sequence spaces $l_M(p, q, s)$ on semi-normed spaces, *Indian J. Pure and appl. Math.* 34(4), (2003), 529-534.
- [2]. **Isik, M, M. Et and B. C. Tripathy**, On some new semi-normed sequence spaces defined Orlicz functions, *Thai J. Math.* 2, (2004), 141-149.
- [3]. **Krasnoselskii M. A. and Y. B. Rutickii**, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces, *Goringen, Netherland*, 1961.
- [4]. **Lindenstrauss, J. and L. Tzafriri**, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 10(1971), 379-390.
- [5]. **Maddox, I. J.**, Sequencespaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Cambridge philos. Soc.* 100(1986), 973-978.
- [6]. **Mursaleen, Khan and A. M. Qamaruddin**, difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz Functions, *Demonstr. Math.* 32(1), (1999), 145-150.
- [7]. **Orlicz, W.**, Über Raume (L^M) , *Buul. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci. A* (1936), 93-107.
- [8]. **Parashar, S. D. and L. Tzafriri**, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.* 25(4) (1994), 419-428.
- [9]. **Rao, K. Chandrasekhara and N. Subramanian**, The orlicz space of entire sequences, *Int. J. Math. and Mathematical Sci.* Vol. no. 68(2004), 3755-3764.
- [10]. **Ruckle, W. H.** FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Can. J. Math.* 25(1973), 973-978.
- [11]. **Wilansky, A.** Functional Analysis, *Blaisdel publishing Company*, New York, (1964).