

PRECISE ASYMPTOTIC IN THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM AND COMPLETE CONVERGENCE FOR U-STATISTICS

REZA HASHEMI and MOLUD ABDOLAH

Department of Statistics, Faculty of Science,
Razi University, 67149, Kermanshah, Iran.

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the precise asymptotic of U-statistics of i.i.d. absolutely continuous random variables. We argue that this can help us describe the relations among the boundary function, weighted function, and convergence rate and limit value in the study of the complete convergence.

KEYWORDS: *U-statistics; Precise asymptotic.*

1. INTRODUCTION:

Since Hsu and Robbins (1947) introduced the concept of complete convergence, there have been extensions in two directions. Let $\{X_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, $n \geq 1$, and $\varphi(x)$ and $f(x)$ be the positive functions defined on $[0, \infty)$. One extension is to discuss the moment conditions, from which it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) P(|S_n| \geq \epsilon f(n)) < \infty, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad (1)$$

Where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) = \infty$. In this direction, one can refer to Hsu and Robbins (1947), Erdős (1949,1950) and Baum and Katz (1965), etc. they, respectively, studied the cases in which

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) &\equiv 1, \quad f(n) = n \text{ and } \varphi(n) = n^{r/p-2}, \\ f(n) &= n^{1/p}, \text{ where } 0 < p < 2, \quad r \geq p. \end{aligned}$$

Another extension departs from the observation that the convergence rate and limit value of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) P(|S_n| \geq \epsilon n) = EX^2, \quad (2)$$

where $EX = 0$ and $EX^2 < \infty$. For analogous results in the more general case, see (R. Chen, 1978 and A. Spataru, 1999), etc.

Research in this field is called the precise asymptotic. Suppose that $h(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ is some real-valued function of m arguments in which X_1, \dots, X_m are i.i.d. observations from some CDF, and for a given $m \geq 1$ we want to estimate or make inferences about the parameter $\theta = \theta(F) = E_F h(X_1, \dots, X_m)$.

We assume $n \geq m$. of course, one unbiased estimator for θ is $h(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ itself. But one should be able to find a better unbiased estimate if $n > m$ because $h(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ does not use all the sample data. For example, if the X_i are real valued, then the set of order statistics $X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$ is always sufficient and the Rao-Blackwellization $E[h(X_1, \dots, X_m)|X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}]$ is a better unbiased estimate than $h(X_1, \dots, X_m)$.

Indeed, in this case

$$E[h(X_1, \dots, X_m)|X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}] = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{m}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n} h(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_m}). \quad (3)$$

Statistics of this form are called U-statistics (U for unbiased), and h is called the kernel and m its order. They were introduced in Hoffding (1948).

Consider an i.i.d. sequence $\{X_i\}$ with a distribution function F. For each sample of size n, $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, a corresponding sample distribution function F_n is constructed by placing at each observation X_i a mass of $1/n$. Thus F_n may be presented as

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Let F be a distribution function (continuous at the right, as usual). For $0 < p < 1$, the pth quintile of F is defined as

$$\xi_p = \inf\{x : F(x) \geq p\} \quad (5)$$

and is alternately denoted by $F^{-1}(p)$. Note that ξ_p satisfies

$$F(\xi_p) \leq p \leq F(\xi_p). \quad (6)$$

2. REVIEW OF RELATED LEMMAS AND THEOREMS:

First, we reproduce some Lemmas and Theorems.

Lemma 1 (lemmas 5.2.1.A in Serfling) the variance of U_n is given by

$$var_F\{U_n\} = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{c=1}^m \binom{m}{c} \binom{n-m}{m-c} \xi_c$$

and satisfies

- (i) $\frac{m^2}{n}\xi_1 \leq \text{var}_F\{U_n\} \leq \frac{m}{n}\xi_m$;
- (ii) $(n + 1)\text{var}_F\{U_{n+1}\} \leq n \text{var}_F\{U_n\}$;
- (iii) $\text{var}_F\{U_n\} = \frac{m^2\xi_1}{n} + O(n^{-2}), n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 5.5.1.A in Serfling)

if $E_F^{h^2} < \infty$ and $\xi_1 > 0$,
 then $n^{1/2}(U_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, m^2\xi_1)$ that is

$$U_n \quad \text{is} \quad AN\left(\theta, \frac{m^2\xi_1}{n}\right).$$

Theorem 2 (Theorem 5.5.1.B in Serfling)

if $\nu = E|h|^3 < \infty$ and $\xi_1 > 0$, then

$$\sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} \left| P\left(\frac{n^{1/2}(U_n - \theta)}{m\xi_1^{1/2}} \leq t\right) - \Phi(t) \right| \leq C\nu(m^2\xi_1)^{-3/2}n^{-1/2},$$

Where C is an absolute constant, and

$$\Delta_n = \sup_t \left| P(|U_n - \theta| > t) - \bar{\Phi}(tn^{1/2}/m\xi_1^{1/2}) \right|.$$

Theorem 3 (Theorem 5.6.1.A in Serfling)

let $h = h(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ be a kernel for

$$\theta = \theta(F), \text{ with } a \leq h(x_1, \dots, x_m) \leq b. \text{ put } \theta = E\{h(X_1, \dots, X_m)\}$$

and $\sigma^2 = \text{var}\{h(X_1, \dots, X_m)\}$, then, for $t > 0$ and $n \geq m$,

$$(1) \quad P(U_n - \theta \geq t) \leq \exp\{-2[n/m]t^2/(b - a)^2\}.$$

And

$$(2) \quad P(U_n - \theta \geq t) \leq \exp \{ -[n/m]t^2/2[\sigma^2 + (1/3)(b - \theta)t] \}.$$

Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. absolutely continuous random variables, and

$$\bar{\Phi}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt, \quad x \geq 0.$$

before presenting the main results, we first discuss the general form and conditions of precise asymptotic. Assume there exist some $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and the following functions are all defined on $[n_0, \infty)$. Denote

$$f(x) = \frac{m\xi_1^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}} h(x), \quad x \geq n_0,$$

Where $\frac{m\xi_1^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}}$ is the normalizing function of U_n , and $h(x)$ is differentiable. Let $g(x)$ be differentiable,

$$\varphi(x) = g'(h(x))h'(x), \quad x \geq n_0$$

we want to find an appropriate $a \geq 0$, and for any $\epsilon > a$, to find an appropriate $G_0(\epsilon)$ satisfying

$$G_0(\epsilon) < \infty, \quad \epsilon > a \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G_0(\epsilon) = 0. \quad (7)$$

so that for all $G(\epsilon) \sim (G_0(\epsilon))^{-1}$, $\epsilon \downarrow a$, we have that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \sum_{n \geq n_0} \varphi(n) P(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon m\xi_1^{1/2} h(n)/n^{1/2}) = 1 \quad (8)$$

It can be seen that $G_0(\epsilon)$ includes the information of the convergence rate, limit value of the series and limit position of ϵ .

Throughout the following, we assume that $g(x)$, $h(x)$, $x \geq n_0$, be positive, which both strictly increase to ∞ , $g(h(x))$ is defined on $[n_0, \infty)$, and $g^{-1}(x)$, $h^{-1}(x)$ are the inverse functions of $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ respectively. Choose

$$G_0(\epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \epsilon \int_{h(n_0)}^\infty g(y) e^{-\epsilon^2 y^2/2} dy, \quad \epsilon > a, \quad (9)$$

where $a \geq 0$, such that (7) holds.

3. RESULTS

Theorem 3.1

Assume that

$$\varphi(x) = g'(h(x))h'(x)$$

is monotone, and if $\varphi(x)$ is monotone non decreasing, we assume

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(n+1)/\varphi(n)) = 1.$$

and assume that there exist $a \geq 0$ such that, in (9), $G_0(\epsilon)$ satisfies (7). And also assume that $g(x)$, $x \geq n_0$, satisfy the following conditions:

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \varphi(n)n^{-1/2} < \infty. \tag{10}$$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} \epsilon G(\epsilon) \int_{g^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)}^{\infty} g(y)e^{-\epsilon^2 y^2/2} dy = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \int_{h^{-1}og^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{2\epsilon^2 m \xi_1 t^2 x^2 h^2(x)}{(b-a)^2} \right\} dg(h(x)) = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \int_{h^{-1}og^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{m \xi_1 t^2 \epsilon^2 x^2 h^2(x)}{2[\sigma^2 + \frac{em \xi_1^{1/2} t h(x)}{3x^{1/2}}(b-\theta)]} \right\} dg(h(x)) = 0. \tag{13}$$

Then (8) holds, when $a > 0$ or $a = 0$.

Choose $g(x) = x^l l(x)$, $r \geq 0$, where $l \in R_0$ is a slowly varying function.

By (9), set $G(\epsilon) = \epsilon^r (l(\epsilon^{-1}))^{-1} (E|N|^r)^{-1}$, $\epsilon > 0 = a$; then we have

Corollary 3.1 Let $h(x)$ be a positive and differentiable function defined on $[n_0, \infty)$, which is strictly increasing to

$$\infty, \varphi(x) = r(h(x))^{r-1} h'(x)$$

be monotone, and if $\varphi(x)$ is monotone nondecreasing, we assume

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(n+1)/\varphi(n)) = 1.$$

further, let L is bounded away from 0 and ∞ on every compact subset of $[n_0, \infty)$. then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^r (l(\epsilon^{-1}))^{-1} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \varphi(n) P(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon t m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n)/n^{1/2}) = r^{-1} E|N|^r. \tag{14}$$

Choose

$$g(x) = x^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} l(x), \quad r \geq p,$$

where $l \in R_0$ is a slowly varying function.

By (9),

$$G(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} (l(\epsilon^{-1}))^{-1} (E|N|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}})^{-1}, \epsilon > 0 = a;$$

then we have following corollary.

Corollary 3.2

Let $h(x)$ be a positive and differentiable function defined on $[n_0, \infty)$, which is strictly increasing to

$$\infty, \varphi(x) = 2(r-p)/(2-p)(h(x))^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} h'(x)$$

be monotone, and if $\varphi(x)$ is monotone nondecreasing, we assume

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(n+1)/\varphi(n)) = 1.$$

Further, let L is bounded away from 0 and ∞ on every compact subset of $[n_0, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}} (l(\epsilon^{-1}))^{-1} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \varphi(n) P(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n)/n^{1/2}) \\ = \frac{2-p}{2(r-p)} E|N|^{\frac{2(r-p)}{2-p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Choose $g(x) = e^{rx^2}$, $r > 0$, then by (9), set $G(\epsilon) = (\epsilon^2 - 2r)^{1/2} (2r)^{-1/2}$, $\epsilon > (2r)^{1/2} = a$, hence we have

Corollary 3.3

let $h(x)$ be a positive and differentiable function defined on $[n_0, \infty)$, which is strictly increasing to

$$\infty, \varphi(x) = 2re^{rh^2(x)} h(x) h'(x)$$

Be monotone, and if $\varphi(x)$ is monotone nondecreasing, we assume

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(n+1)/\varphi(n)) = 1.$$

finally, assume that $h(x)$ satisfies (10).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow (2r)^{1/2}} (\epsilon^2 - 2r)^{1/2} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} e^{rh^2(n)} h(n) h'(n) P(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon t m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n)/n^{1/2}) \\ = (2r)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Choose

$$g(x) = e^{rx}, r > 0, \text{ by (9) set } G(\epsilon) = e^{-r^2/2\epsilon^2}/2, \epsilon > 0 = a,$$

then

it follows that:

Corollary 3.4

Let $h(x)$ be a positive and differentiable function defined on $[n_0, \infty)$, which is strictly increasing to ∞ , $\varphi(x) = re^{rh(x)} h'(x)$ be monotone, and if $\varphi(x)$ is monotone nondecreasing, we assume

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(n+1)/\varphi(n)) = 1.$$

Finally, assume that $h(x)$ satisfies (10). then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\epsilon^2}} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} e^{rh(n)} h'(n) P(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon t m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n)/n^{1/2}) = 2r^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

4. PROOFS:

Proof of Theorem.3.1

If $\varphi(x)$ is nondecreasing, then by (7), (9) and integration by parts, we have

$$\int_{n_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(x)) dx \leq \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(n)) \leq \int_{n_0}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(x)). \quad (18)$$

If $\varphi(x)$ is nondecreasing, then by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(n+1)/\varphi(n)) = 1.$$

For any

$0 < \delta < 1$, there exist $n_1 = n_1(\delta)$, when

$$n \geq n_1, \varphi(n+1)/\varphi(n) < 1 + \delta$$

And

$$\varphi(n)/\varphi(n+1) > 1 - \delta.$$

Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \delta)^{-1} \int_{n_1+1}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(x)) dx &\leq \sum_{n=n_1+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(n)) \\ &\leq (1 - \delta)^{-1} \int_{n_1}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(x)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence by integration by part, (7), (8), (18), (19), we have

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(n)) \geq (1 + \delta)^{-1} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(n)) \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1}. \quad (21)$$

Let $\delta \downarrow 0$, then we conclude

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(n)) = 1. \quad (22)$$

If $\varphi(x)$ is nondecreasing, then by lemma 3.1 in Wang Wang (2003),

$$\begin{aligned}
 4G_0(\epsilon)M &= 4g\left(h(b(\epsilon))\right) \geq goh(b(\epsilon) + 1) \geq \sum_{n=n_0}^{[b(\epsilon)]} \varphi(n) \\
 &= \sum_{n=n_0}^{n_1-1} \varphi(n) + \sum_{n=n_1}^{[b(\epsilon)]} \varphi(n) \geq \sum_{n=n_0}^{n_1-1} \varphi(n) + (1 - \delta) \sum_{n=n_1}^{[b(\epsilon)]} \varphi(n + 1) \\
 &\geq (1 - \delta) \left(\sum_{n=n_0}^{[b(\epsilon)]+1} \varphi(n) - \varphi(n_1) \right), \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $b(\epsilon) = h^{-1}og^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)$.

If $\varphi(x)$ is non-increasing, similarly we have

$$4G_0(\epsilon)M \geq \sum_{n=n_0}^{[b(\epsilon)]+1} \varphi(n) - \varphi(n_0). \tag{24}$$

By Theorems (23), (24) and Toeplitz lemma, we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \sum_{n=n_0}^{[b(\epsilon)]+1} \varphi(n) \Delta_n = 0. \tag{25}$$

By integration by parts and (7),

$$(1 - \delta)^{-1} \int_{b(\epsilon)}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(x)) dx \geq \sum_{n=[b(\epsilon)]+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(n)) \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \delta)^{-1} \int_{b(\epsilon)}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(x)) dx &= (1 - \delta)^{-1} \int_{h(b(\epsilon))}^{\infty} \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon y) dg(y) \\
 &\leq (1 - \delta)^{-1} \int_{g^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)}^{\infty} \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} g(y) e^{-\epsilon^2 y^2} dy \tag{27}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (11), (26), (27) and (18), we deduce

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \sum_{n=[b(\epsilon)]+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \bar{\Phi}(\epsilon h(n)) = 0, \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty. \tag{28}$$

In the following, we prove that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \sum_{n=[b(\epsilon)]+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) P\left(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon t m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n)/n^{1/2}\right) = 0. \tag{29}$$

By Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\epsilon) &= \sum_{n=[b(\epsilon)]+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) P\left(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon t m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n) / n^{1/2}\right) \\
 &\leq CG(\epsilon) \sum_{n=[b(\epsilon)]+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{2m\xi_1 t^2 n^2 h^2(n) \epsilon^2}{(b-a)^2}\right\} \\
 &\leq CG(\epsilon) \int_{b(\epsilon)}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{2\epsilon^2 m \xi_1 t^2 h^2(x)}{(b-a)^2} x^2\right\} dx \\
 &= CG(\epsilon) \int_{b(\epsilon)}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{2\epsilon^2 m \xi_1 t^2 h^2(x)}{(b-a)^2} x^2\right\} dg(h(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

Or by Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\epsilon) &= \sum_{n=[b(\epsilon)]+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) P\left(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon t m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n) / n^{1/2}\right) \\
 &\leq CG(\epsilon) \sum_{n=[b(\epsilon)]+1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{m \xi_1 t^2 n^2 h^2(n) \epsilon^2}{2[\sigma^2 + \frac{\epsilon m \xi_1^{1/2} t h(n)}{3n^{1/2}}(b-\theta)]}\right\} \\
 &\leq CG(\epsilon) \int_{b(\epsilon)}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{m \xi_1 t^2 x^2 h^2(x) \epsilon^2}{2[\sigma^2 + \frac{\epsilon m \xi_1^{1/2} t h(x)}{3x^{1/2}}(b-\theta)]}\right\} dx \\
 &= CG(\epsilon) \int_{b(\epsilon)}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{m \xi_1 t^2 x^2 h^2(x) \epsilon^2}{2[\sigma^2 + \frac{\epsilon m \xi_1^{1/2} t h(x)}{3x^{1/2}}(b-\theta)]}\right\} dg(h(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

Together with (12) or (13), we get (29).

Proof of corollary 3.1:

By properties of slowly varying functions and dominant convergence theorem and Potter’s theorem and Theorem 1.5.6 and 1.5.12 in Bingham, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \epsilon \int_{h(n_0)}^{\infty} \frac{y^r l(y) e^{-\epsilon^2 y^2 / 2}}{\epsilon^{-r} l(\epsilon^{-1})} dy \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{z^r l(\epsilon^{-1} z) e^{-z^2 / 2}}{l(\epsilon^{-1})} I(z > \epsilon h(n_0)) dz \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} z^r e^{-z^2 / 2} dz = E|N|^r.
 \end{aligned}$$

When ϵ is small enough,

$$g^{-1}\left(g(\epsilon^{-1})ME|N|^r\right) \leq \epsilon^{-1}B(ME|N|^r)^\delta \quad (30)$$

we know that when ϵ is small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon G(\epsilon) \int_{g^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)}^\infty g(y)e^{-\epsilon^2 y^2/2} dy &= G(\epsilon) \int_{\epsilon g^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)}^\infty g(\epsilon^{-1}z)e^{-z^2/2} dz \\ &\leq (E|N|^r)^{-1} \int_{2\epsilon g^{-1}(g(\epsilon^{-1})ME|N|^r)}^\infty \frac{z^r l(\epsilon^{-1}z)}{l(\epsilon^{-1})} e^{-z^2/2} dz \\ &\leq A(E|N|^r)^{-1} \int_{2B(ME|N|^r)^\delta}^\infty z^{r+\delta} e^{-z^2/2} dz \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (11) is satisfied.

By Karamata's theorem and Potter's theorem and (30), when ϵ is small enough, we have

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow a} G(\epsilon) \int_{h^{-1}og^{-1}(G_0(\epsilon)M)}^\infty e^{-\frac{2\epsilon^2 m \xi_1^2 x^2 h(x)}{(b-a)^2}} dg(h(x)) = 0$$

Hence by theorem.3.1, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^r (l(\epsilon^{-1}))^{-1} \sum_{n=n_0}^\infty \varphi(n) P\left(|U_n - \theta| > \epsilon t m \xi_1^{1/2} h(n)/n^{1/2}\right) = r^{-1} E|N|^r.$$

The proof of Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 and 3.4 are just to verify the conditions of theorem.3.1 straightly, we omit them.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. Gut, A. Sp̃ataru, Precise asymptotics in the Baum-Katz and Davis law of large numbers, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 248(2000), 233-246.
 [2] A. Renyi, on the extreme elements of observations, MTA III oszt. K̃ozl. 12(1962), 105-112, also in: collected works, Vol.3, Akad. Kiado, Budapest, 1976, pp.55-66.
 [3] A. Sp̃ataru, Precise asymptotics in spitzer's law of large numbers, J. Theoret. Probab. 12(1999), 811-819.
 [4] C.C. Heyde, A supplement to the strong law of large numbers, J. Appl. Probab. 12(1975), 173-175.
 [5] E.L. Lehman. Elements of large sample theory, Springer, New York, (1999).
 [6] Galambos, The asymptotic theory of extreme order statistics, 2nd ed., Krieger, 1987.
 [7] H. Callaert, and P. Janssen. The Berry-Esseen theorem for U-statistics, Ann. Stat., 6(2) (1978), 417-421.
 [8] L.E. Baum, M. Katz, Convergence rates, in the law of large numbers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120(1965), 108-123.
 [9] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, J.L. Teugels. Regular variation, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
 [10] P. Erd̃os, on a theorem of hsu and Robbins, Ann.Math.statist.20 (1949), 186-291.

- [11] P.L. Hsu, H. Robbins, Complete convergence and the strong law of large numbers, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 33(1947), 25-31.
- [12] P. Erdős, Remark on my paper "on a theorem of Hsu and Robbins", Ann. Math. Statist. 21(1950), 138.
- [13] R. Chen, A remark on tail probability of a distribution, J. Multivariate Anal. 8(1978), 328-333.
- [14] R. Serfling. Approximation theorems of mathematical statistics, John Wiley, New York, (1980).
- [15] V.V. Petrov, limit theorem of probability theory, Clarendon, Oxford, 1995.
- [16] W. Grams, and R. Serfling. Convergence rates for U-statistics and related statistics, Ann. Stat., 1(1973), 153-160.
- [17] W. Hoeding. A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distribution, Ann. Math. Stat., 19(1948), 293-325.